

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,635	07/02/2003	Adolf Adrian	3661	
7590 05/31/2005			EXAMINER	
Dr. Max Fogiel			BURCH, MELODY M	
44 Maple Court Highland Park, NJ 08904			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1116			3683	
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/612,635		ADRIAN ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Melody M. Burch		3683	

Before the Filing of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Melody M. Burch	3683						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			ine issues for					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the data of filing a Ni	otice of Appeal will be	at he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
13. Other:								
•								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

He

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): upon entry the amendment appears to overcome the 112 rejections set forth in the final rejection.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: amended claims 5 and 11 include the term "cylinder telescoping leg". It was previously noted in a prior 112 rejection that the term telescoping leg was indefinite since it was unclear to the Examiner as to which element represented the telescoping leg. The only telescoping element illustrated in the drawings appeared to be the piston rod, however, the piston rod was previously recited in the claim. Applicant removed the limitation from the claims to overcome the previous 112 rejection, but has reinserted the term in amended claims 5 and 11. Applicant explains in the remarks that the elastically tensioned circular ring is represented by element 16 (which is referred to in the specification as a seal having a lip 17). Such a explanation leads to confusion with respect to claims 5 and 11 since the claims recite both a lipped seal and and elastically tensioned circular ring as if the seal and the ring were separate entities. Examiner further notes that the response filed 5/2/05 is incomplete since a portion of the amended specification as well as a portion of amended claims 8 and 11 are missing.

Melody m. Burch 5/24/05-